TEXTILE-REINFORCED MORTAR EXTERNAL STRENGTHENING OF CORRODED REINFORCED CONCRETE BEAMS

Charles K.S. Moy¹* and Silas Oluwadahunsi²

¹Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, P.R. China, 215123. Corresponding author: *Email: cloo8000@uni.sydney.edu.au ²PhD Candidate, Department of Civil Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, P.R. China, 215123. Email: silas_e@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The need for reliable material techniques for the restoration of corrosion-damaged reinforced concrete (RC) elements cannot be over-emphasised, especially when considering the sustainability aspects of reinforced concrete structures. This investigation examines the application of basalt textile-reinforced mortar for external strengthening of corroded RC beams in flexure. In that regard, a set of reinforced concrete beams subjected to accelerated corrosion to 10% and 20% mass loss of the main steel bars at mid-span and were strengthened using TRM. In total, 7 beams were tested under four-point bending for the following conditions: 1 control, 2 corroded and 4 strengthened. Two strengthening schemes were single ply TRM of equivalent surface area. The results show that corrosion had detrimental effects on load-carrying capacity, yield strength, as well as ductility of corroded beams. Strengthening schemes restored the load capacity of the 10% corroded beams within a range of 105% to 112% of control load capacity. Recovery of the load carrying capacity was not achieved for the 20% corroded beams. All the strengthened specimens ultimately failed by fibre reinforcement rupture, thus indicating full utilisation of composite action of the TRM. Keywords: Reinforced concrete (RC), textile-reinforced mortar (TRM), corrosion, RC beams.

INTRODUCTION

Corrosion of embedded steel is the leading cause of deterioration of RC elements. Its impact is evidenced by severe losses in strength and stiffness properties, as well as cracks and spalling of concrete cover (Sagues et al, 1997; Poursaee, 2016). Traditionally, epoxy-based fibre reinforcement layers often referred to as fibre-

reinforced polymers (FRP) were used for repairing and strengthening damaged reinforced concrete members. However, FRP techniques have many drawdacks such as high cost, toxicity, susceptibility to combustion, and poor adhesion of epoxy to concrete substrate (Al-Saidy et al, 2010; El-Maaddawy & El Refai 2015). For those reasons, cement-based textiles are gradually replacing the use of epoxy-bonded fibres. One example of such cement-based strengthening technique is Textile-reinforced mortar strengthening (TRM) which consists of mortar as the matrix and large mesh size textiles as the strengthening materials. Raoof et al (2017) defined TRM as "a composite comprising high-strength fibres made of carbon, basalt or glass in form of textiles embedded in inorganic materials such as cement-based mortars."

The behaviour of TRM-strengthened beams, in flexure, has been shown to depend on TRM type, repair scheme and number of layers applied (Babaeidarabad et al, 2014; Elghazy et al, 2017). Studies reported in the literature have mostly investigated, and compared FRPs and TRMs in strengthening non-corroded beams, while a few focused on corroded ones (El-Maadawy & El-Refai, 2015; Elghazy et al, 2017).

Studies on the effectiveness and behaviour of TRMs in strengthening of corroded beams are still lacking. More so, basalt-TRM has not been investigated in the external strengthening (for flexure) of reinforced concrete beams of different degrees of corrosion. Thus, this study presents the outcome of corroded RC beams strengthened externally with basalt-TRM, and tested in flexure under 4-point bending.

EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMME

Test Specimens

In all, 14 specimens were casted, equally split in two groups based on concrete type (normal and high-strength concrete). The mix proportions of the concrete types are shown in Table 1. The average 28th-day compressive strength was 40 N/mm² and 51 N/mm² for normal (NC) and high strength concrete (HS), respectively. The beam dimension was 130 mm by 160 mm by 1200 mm in length. 10 mm bars (2 \emptyset 10) were used at the top, while 20 mm (2 \emptyset 20) bars were used at the bottom. Links of 8 mm diameter were placed at a spacing of 150 mm centres in the two shear moment regions (325 mm span); no shear links was placed in the constant moment region (250 mm span). The beam reinforcement detail is illustrated in Fig. 1. For each concrete type, there is 1 control, 2 corroded and unrepaired, and 4 corroded and repaired specimens.

Table 2 shows the corrosion degree and strengthening scheme of each specimen. An X-Y-Z tagging is used, where X represents the concrete type (NC or HS); Y indicates the percentage mass loss of steel and Z, the strengthening scheme used.

The textile used for the strengthening was basalt fibre geo-grid type 25mm square mesh with a specific surface weight of 350 g/m3. The grid is coated with a PVC layer. The mesh has a warp breaking strength and weft breaking strength of 3950 N/50mm and 4000 N/50mm, respectively.

Constituent (kg per m3)	Normal Concrete	High Strength Concrete	
Cement	450	400	
Water	196.1	163.2	
Superplasticiser	-	17.74	
Fine Aggregate	690	728.52	
Coarse Aggregate 10mm	345	467	
Coarse aggregate 40mm	690	672.48	
Silica Fume	-	100	
Steel fibres	-	30 (Approx. 1.2%)	

Table 1. Mix Design



All dimensions are in millimetres

Fig. 1. Steel reinforcement details.

Table 2.	Specimen	description	
			-

Specimen	Corrosion	TRM	Specimen	Corrosion	TRM
	(%)	scheme		(%)	scheme
NC-0	0	none	HS-0	0	none
NC-10	10	none	HS-10	10	none
NC-10-S1	10	scheme 1	HS-10-S1	10	scheme 1
NC-10-S2	10	scheme2	HS-10-S2	10	scheme2



Fig. 2. Basalt-TRM strengthening scheme (a) laminate (b) U-Wrap.

Accelerated Corrosion

In inducing corrosion in specimens, constant current of 250 μ A was impressed in tension reinforcement with duration (t), as presented in Table 3, for the respective mass losses. The duration was determined using equation (1). Only a length of 150 mm at mid-span was subjected to the process, as other parts of the steel cage were epoxy-coated. The electrolyte used is saltwater solution of 4% NaCl concentration by mass.

$$t = \frac{m \, z \, F}{IM} \tag{1}$$

Corrosion rate (%)	10	20
Mass of rebar exposed to corrosion (g)	133.172	133.172
Length exposed l (mm)	150	150
Equivalent Mass loss (m) (g)	13.3172	26.6344
Applied current I (A)	0.25	0.25
Molar Mass of steel (M) (g/mol)	55.847	55.847
Valency Fez+ (z)	2	2

Table 3. Accelerated Corrosion Aging

Faraday Constant (F) (C/mol)	96487	96487
Time (t) (sec)	184065.4	368130.9

Strengthening Schemes and Application

Both strengthening schemes cover an equivalent area on the corroded specimens. Prior to the application of the TRM, the concrete cover over the corroded steel was removed, the bars cleaned and covered with fresh concrete. The surfaces to apply the schemes to (soffit and sides) were prepared by cutting grooves of approx.1.5 mm depth and width using a grinder (see Fig. 3). The surfaces were kept moist for over 24 hours before the application of the mortar in order to prevent early shrinkage in mortar.



Fig. 3. Surface preparation (a) scheme 1 (b) scheme 2.

Fig. 4. TRM Application (a) First mortar layer (b) impression of textile into mortar (c) final mortar layer applied.

Testing and Instrumentation

A 300 kN Universal Testing Machine (UTM) was used in testing the beams to failure



in a four-point bend setup (see

Fig. 5). Displacement rate was set at 1 mm/min. Clear span between supports was 900 mm while the loading pins were at 250 mm centres. Two linear variable displacement traducers (LVDT) were placed at the bottom of the beams, to measure displacement.



Fig. 5. Loading Setup.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Specimen	Load value	S	Deflection values		Ductility Index
	Py (kN)	Pu (kN)	$\delta_{y}(\mathbf{mm})$	$\delta_u(\mathbf{mm})$	δu/ δy
NC-0	91.6	106.5	6.7	19.7	2.94
NC-10	54.8	94.8	4.3	11.9	2.77
NC-10-S1	59.2	119	3.6	11.7	3.25
NC-10-S2	70.5	100	3.6	9.2	2.56
NC-20	50	83	3.1	9.1	2.94
NC-20-S1	50.1	89.2	3.2	8.0	2.50
NC-20-S2	51.6	88.8	3.0	8.8	2.93
HS-0	107.1	111.0	6.2	24.3	3.92
HS-10	57	100	4.3	14.9	3.47
HS-10-S1	71.6	110.6	3.59	7.61	2.12
HS-10-S2	83	115.7	5.3	13.7	2.58
HS-20	55.9	82.6	3.6	9.7	2.69
HS-20-S1	59.8	87	3.7	7.95	2.15
HS-20-S2	58.5	89	3.7	8.8	2.38

Table 4. Strength and Ductility result

Strength Analysis

Experiment results are presented in Table 4. It summarizes strength and ductility results of tested beam specimens. Ductility index is the ratio of mid-span deflection at specimen's ultimate load to that of yield load. 10% corrosion at mid-span resulted in

an 11% reduction in ultimate load in NC-10 and 9.9% in HS-10 specimens. 20% corrosion caused 22% and 26% reduction in ultimate load of NC-20 and HS-20, respectively.

Scheme 1

Specimen NC-10-S1 increased by 26% in peak load, which is a 112% restoration with respect to the control specimen, NC-0. HS-10-S1 gained 10.6%, an approximate restoration to original strength. NC-20-S1 and HS-20-S1 gained 7.5% and 5.3%, respectively. Therefore, the scheme could only restore 10% corroded specimens to original ultimate load. In yield strength, NC-10-S1 and HS-10-S1 gained 8% and 25.6%, respectively. NC-20-S1 and HS-20-S1 increased in yield load by 0.2% and 7%, respectively.

Scheme 2

NC-10-S2 had an increment of 26%, HS-10-S2 by 15.4%, NC-20-S2 added 9.4% while HS-20-S2 had 10% gain. In yield load performance, NC-10-S2 gained 10%, while HS-10-S2 and NC-20-S2 added 55.5% and 20%, respectively. HS-20-S2, however, had a decrement of 8%. In ductility index, Scheme 2 reduced that of NC-10-S2 and HS-10-S2 by 23% and 32%, respectively. NC-20-S2 increased by 16% while HS-20-S2 reduced by 8%. The scheme restored NS-10-S2 to 112% of the non-corroded peak load and 104% of the yield load. HS-10-S2 surpassed initial peak load by 10% while yield load was not restored.

Load-Deflection Behaviour

Fig. 6(a) shows that NC-10-S1 gained higher strength increase compared to NC-10-S2, which only increased in load capacity, but was not restored to original strength. However, the latter exhibited better ductility. In 10% corroded high strength beams (See

Fig. 6 (b)), HS-10-S2 had a superior load increase and ductility performance, similar to that of the control specimen, in comparison to HS-10-S1. In

Fig. 6(c) and (d), high strength beams strengthened with both schemes showed higher ductile behaviour compared to normal concrete beams. However, none of these beams (20% corroded) was restored to original strength and ductile behaviour.



Fig. 6. Load-deflection plots (a) 10% corroded NC beams (b) 10% corroded HS beams (c) 20% corroded NC beams (d) 20% corroded HS beams.

Failure Mode

The failure of all beams tested starting with yielding of tension steel reinforcement and subsequent compression crushing of concrete at the loading points. Moreover, the ultimate failure mode observed in all strengthened specimens is fibre rupture. This is depicted in Fig. 7 showing failure of representative specimens (HS-10-S1 and HS-10-S2).



Fig. 7. Failure modes (a) Scheme 1 (b) Scheme 2.

CONCLUSION

In this study, corroded beams of 10% and 20% steel mass losses (at mid-span) were strengthened using with two schemes of single ply basalt-TRM. A total of 14 beams were tested in flexure, with 8 corroded and strengthened with single ply basalt-TRM. From the test results, the following conclusions are drawn:

- 10% corrosion led to similar peak load loss in NC and HS concrete beams, 11% and 9.9% respectively. Doubling the steel mass losses led to 22% and 25.6% strength losses in the aforementioned beams, respectively.
- Both strengthening schemes restored 10% corroded beams to and beyond control beam peak loads, with the exception of Scheme 2 in NC-10-S2. However, the converse was the case with 20% corroded ones.
- Increment in load-carrying capacity of corroded beams was increased within a range of 5.3% to 26%. Yield load and ductility index were improved but was not always the case.
- Overall, U-Wrap scheme provided the higher peak load and load-deflection response recovery, especially with high strength concrete.
- The only failure mode observed in strengthened specimens is textile rupture, which implies a full utilization of TRM composite in specimens.

REFERENCES

- Babaeidarabad, S., Loreto, G. and Nanni, A., 2014. Flexural strengthening of RC beams externally bonded fabric-reinforced cementitious matrix. Journal of Composites for Construction, 18(5), p.04014009.
- Elghazy, M., El Refai, A., Ebead, U. and Nanni, A., 2017. Corrosion-damaged reinforced concrete beams repaired with fabric-reinforced cementitious matrix (FRCM). J Compos Constr nd.
- El-Maaddawy, T. and El Refai, A., 2015. Innovative repair of severely corroded Tbeams using fabric-reinforced cementitious matrix. Journal of Composites for Construction, 20(3), p.04015073.
- Raoof, S.M., Koutas, L.N. and Bournas, D.A., 2017. Textile-reinforced mortar (TRM) versus fibre-reinforced polymers (FRP) in flexural strengthening of RC beams. Construction and Building Materials, 151, pp.279-291.
- Sagues, A.A., Moreno, E.I., Morris, W. and Andrade, C., 1997. Carbonation in concrete and effect on steel corrosion (No. WPI 0510685,).