Environmentally friendly materials and technology in civil engineering

Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse

MA (Oxon) PhD CEng FICE

Coventry University, Coventry UK

Coventry


Aberdeen
Dundee
St Andrews
Stirling
Edinburgh
Glasgow
Londonderry
Coleraine
Belfast
Armagh
Newcastle
Sunderland
Durham
Lancaster
Bradford
York
Leeds
Hull
Huddersfield
Galway
Dublin
Liverpool
Bangor
Man-
chester
Limerick
Tralee
Waterford
Cork
Aberystwyth
Stoke
on-trent
Derby
Stafford
Wolverhampton
Birmingham
Leicester
Warwick
Norwich
Cambridge
Ipswich
Bedford
Colchester
Swansea
Oxford
Cardiff
Bristol
London
Bath
Reading
Guildford
Canterbury
Winchester
Southampton
Exeter
Brighton
Portsmouth
Eastbourne
Plymouth


TECHNOCENTRE

Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.
Professor Peter Claisse
Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future

Possible routes to Environmentally Friendly Concrete

- Low energy cements such as magnesia cements
- Low impact fuel for cement kilns such as waste tyres.
- Energy saving in cement production with efficient kilns
- Use of waste minerals to replace the cement
  <u>We believe that this is the best option</u>

Candidate materials (1)

- Sodium sulphate slag (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Spent borax slag (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Ferrosilicate slag (lumps from Britannia Refined Metals Ltd. sand size from Britannia Zinc Ltd.)
- Ferrosilicate copper slag (IMI Refiners Ltd.)
- Soda slag (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Chrome Alumina slag **(**London & Scandinavian Metallurgical Co. Ltd.)
- Cement Kiln Dust ,CKD (Rugby Cement)
- Run of station ash (Ash Resources Ltd.)
- Lagoon ash (UK quality Ash Association)
- Steel slag (Tarmac Quarry Products Ltd.)
- “Red” titanogypsum.

Candidate materials (2)

- Waste Gypsum wall board (from the plant or site waste)
- Burnt Oil Shale (Tarmac Quarry Products Ltd.)
- By-product Gypsum (Biffa Waste Services Ltd.)
- Glass cullet (Mercury Recycling Ltd.)
- Limex70 (British Sugar Plc.)
- Shell foundry sand (Bruhl UK Ltd., Hepworth Minerals & Chemicals Ltd.)
- Green foundry sand (Castings Plc. And Bruhl UK Ltd.)
- Fire kettle setting (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Fine rotary fascia bricks (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Sodium sulphate solution (Britannia Refined Metals Ltd.)
- Air Pollution Control residues: fly ash from domestic waste incinerator

Mixture Liquids

- Water
- Sodium Sulphate Solution from Battery recycling

Typical combinations

- Ash – Alkali mixtures such as run-of-station ash and cement kiln dust.
- Sulphate mixtures such as waste gypsum and steel slag.

"Red" Titanogypsum in a landfill in the UK

Having identified suitable materials it is essential to define the requirements of the proposed application.

Requirements of concrete for landfill barriers

- A cube strength of 5 N/mm^2
- The strength requirement is only for emplacement.
- Expansion of the barrier is harmless
- The leachate permeability through the barrier must remain low.
- Cracking is inevitable
- Alkaline buffering is essential

Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse
Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
  - Laboratory Tests
  - Site Trials
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future

Test Procedures for Cementitious Samples in the Coventry University JL Laboratories

- **Wet Concrete Tests:** Slump, Compaction Index, flow table, VB test, ICAR Rheometer
- **Wet mortar and paste tests :** Grout viscometer, flow trough.
- **Strength Tests:** Cubes (50mm and 100mm), cylinder splitting, beam bending, elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio with strain gauges, core cutting and testing.
- **Transport Tests:** High Pressure permeability, diffusion cells, Rapid Chloride Permeability, water absorption
- **Corrosion tests:** Rest potential, linear polarisation, concrete resistivity.

Test Procedures for Cementitious Samples in the Coventry University JL Laboratories

- **Chemical tests:** Pore fluid expression
- **Exposure tests:** Freeze-thaw, sulphate attack, expansion
- **Porosity Measurement:** Helium pyconometer
- **Tests available in other departments:** ICP chemical analysis, Scanning electron microscope, Particle sizer, Thermogravimetric balance

Materials Characterisation

- Must be enough analysis to make the work repeatable in other labs.
- An analysis of the variability of the materials is essential.
- Typical tests XRF, XRD

  Particle size

High Pressure Apparatus



The High Pressure Through Flow Cell

The high-pressure test measures:

- The permeability of the samples to water.
- The change in permeability in the presence of leachate.
- The adsorption of ions from the leachate by measuring eluent chemistry.
- Changes in permeability, strength and eluent chemistry (pH).
- The effect of different residence times in the sample
- The effect of cracking
- The performance of multi-layer systems
- The ability of the clay to seal cracks
- The availability of the buffering capacity of the barrier.

Diffusion Cells

Strength Tests

Corrosion tests - The process is accelerated by applying a positive voltage on 0.1 Volts.

Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.
Professor Peter Claisse
Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
  - Laboratory Tests
  - Site Trials
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future

Why site trials are needed.

- To validate lab results on a large scale
- To demonstrate production methods
- To provide exposure tests for samples which are then returned to the lab
- To provide publicity
- To provide education



The mixture designs for the trials

Trial	Pour	Cementitious component	Strength MPa
1	Cell 1 top	Spent borax 100%	4.5
2	Cell 2 top	CKD 60%, Lagoon ash 40%	1.7
3	Cell 3 top	CKD 60%, Lagoon ash 40%	1.3
1	Cell 1 base	GGBS 90%,OPC 10%, Sodium sulphate	13
2	Cell 2 base	CKD 60%, PFA 40%, Sodium sulphate	6.9
3	Cell 3 base	OPC 5%, CKD, 70%, Lagoon ash 25%	6
4	Trench fill	BOS 60%, Red Gypsum 40%	1.8
5	Sub-base	BOS 80%, PB 15%, BPD 5%	10.8
6	Base course	BOS 80%, PB 15%, BPD 5%	30.55

Site Trials

1. Landfill Barrier
2. Trench Fill
3. Road Base

Secondary materials in the mixes

Waste-derived concrete for landfill barrier

Sampling lines below the barrier

Site Trials

1. Landfill Barrier
2. Trench Fill
3. Road Base

Gypsum/Slag mix trial pour

Red Gypsum: 5.3 Tonne
BOS weathered slag: 8 Tonne
Water: 2400 litres
Calculated yield: 7.4 m^3

Gypsum/Slag mix trial pour

Site Trials

1. Landfill Barrier
2. Trench Fill
3. Road Base

Trial 5
Car Park

Trial 6
Semi-Dry
Paste/grout

Trial 6 Haul Road – Soil Stabilisation

Concrete without Cement (Trials 5 and 6)

Concrete (trial 5)

Semi-dry paste/grout

(trial 6)

Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.
Professor Peter Claisse
Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future

Strength Development (BPD-BOS)



Results for an APC residue (incinerator ash) mix



Optimization of BPD, BOS and ROSA mixture



BPD10/BOS35/ROSA55

28 day strength:

<u>14.7 MPa</u>



ROSA48/BOS12/BPD40

28 day strength:

<u>12.35 MPa</u>



BOS40/ROSA36/BPD24

28 day strength:

<u>14.6 MPa</u>

Artificial Neural Networks
were not effective because of variability in the materials

(A and B)- BOS+BPD+RG strength (MPa) after 7 and 28 days



Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.

Professor Peter Claisse
Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future
  - The problem to be overcome
  - A new type of cement

The Problem

- Almost half the papers in our conference "Sustainable Construction Materials 2" related to the use of secondary materials to replace cement
- Almost half the papers submitted to the ICE Materials Journal are on the same subject.
- Nowhere near half of the cement used to make concrete is actually being replaced with secondary materials. There is some progress but it is far too slow. The environment will not wait for us.

Some Reasons for the Problem

- New materials cause production problems and increased cost for producers, e.g. the need for more silos.
- Producers are worried about durability.
- Producers are very worried about leaching and other environmental impacts.
- Producers are very very worried about potential impacts on human health.

Research progress towards environmentally friendly concrete.
Professor Peter Claisse
Coventry University, Coventry UK

- Objectives
- Test Methods
- Typical Results
- A vision for the future
  - The problem to be overcome
  - A new type of cement

A New Type of Cement – defining the requirement

- Large quantities of cement are used for low-strength applications such as road bases, footings and trench and mine backfill.
- These mixes are normally made with the same cement as high-strength mixes (except some hydraulically bound road base materials that use waste minerals which are individually tested before use)
- European Standards have encouraged the use of established cement replacements (such as Pulverised Fuel Ash and Ground Granulated Blastfurnace Slag) but still require high strengths and do not permit many other materials.

A new type of cement “cem-zero”

- For low-strength concrete (up to 20MPa)
- Made entirely with waste minerals
- Continually changing materials and proportions depending on the availability and composition of the wastes.
- A quality assured product so the user can use it like any other cement
- Ideal for LEED and BREAM projects

The “Coventry Blend”

- Basic oxygen slag from steel manufacture (80%)
- Waste plasterboard (15%)
- Kiln by-pass dust from cement manufacture.(5%)

100 Tonnes of this blend were made for trials 5 and 6

This blend is not recommended for partial replacement of cement – it is for use without cement

Stage 1 - Blending "cem-zero"



Dryers	Raw material silos	Analysis	Flow control	Mixing	Powder storage
If any of the secondary materials are wet it will be necessary to dry them	The silos should be fitted with agitators to improve uniformity of feed to mixer	In-line sensors	Responding to results of in-line analysis using computer methods established in this project.	Dry powder and small particle blending	The powder will be dry so it may be stored for weeks /months

Stage 2 may be needed depending on choice of product

Transport from stage 1 silo

Aggregates: Quarry fines, crushed concrete etc.

Mixer

May be a readymix plant or a mobile volumetric mixer

Placement as trench fill, mine backfill, road foundation or other application.

Thank you

www.claisse.info